

From: Michael Horn <michael@theyfly.com>
Date: February 11, 2008 2:06:32 PM PST
To: derek@iigwest.com
Subject: Derek, the Mausmeister

Hi there,

It seems very timely now to remind you of a popular little truism:

"You don't get a second chance to make a first impression."

While I'm sure that you fellas at IIG are about to come out with yet another brilliant, convoluted "explanation" of Meier's still irreproducible UFO photos, films, video, etc., the fact is that people in more than 20 countries are now enjoying your unscientifically arrived at, ever so insightful, penetratingly profound "similar looking trees must be the same tree" looney conclusion. (Didn't you ever read Deardorff's succinct information at <http://www.tjresearch.info/ufology.htm> and even ponder the first photo in the series?)

And, regarding Nippon TV's examination, what part of "they examined the *actual film*", instead of wasting your time on the video transfer nonsense, didn't you understand?

Another most timely saying goes like this:

"Don't send a boy to do a man's job."

Especially since the man they sent first, Vaughn Rees, couldn't do it either. So we have to wonder if you're going to become one of Rees' Pieces yourself. After all, you've gone and made the most amateurish presentation that one could imagine and surely folks like Shermer and Randi must be cringing at the muffed and wasted opportunity.

Speaking of Vaughn, you seem to have forgotten that he was quite careful to only claim to have duplicated the "effect" of Meier's photos which, along with declining to have them tested, was sufficient to get him thrown off C2C, as well as thrown overboard at CFI-West/IIG. You conveniently, and amateurishly, leave that out when you erroneously state that IIG has "duplicated Meier's photos"...or that anyone else has either.

Really, seven years now and you guys are still trying to prove the Meier case a hoax, instead of pursuing the credible, scientific process of discovering if it's true or not. Did I say, "prove it's a hoax"? Well, that's because you are, admittedly and in contradiction to what you say in the film, indeed claiming that it's a hoax

despite your saying that "only the person who does the hoax can say it's a hoax."

Follow along, junior. When you say that he used model trees and model UFOs, as you're ON RECORD as saying in the film, then you're effectively saying that he...*hoaxed* what he claims are real UFOs photographed/filmed/videotaped with real trees. No amount of after the fact double talk gets you out of that one either.

And still not content to trip all over your own credibility, you now present us with the solar suit as the answer for the one seen in Meier's photos! Never mind that the one you show is silver, the one in the Meier photo gold, that yours has no metal cuff, hers does, that you haven't provided any information about such a suit in gold, its availability in Switzerland at the time, etc. Delightful work you do over there, Mausmeister. I guess you're now going to hit us with "similar suits are the same suit", I can't wait.

I think it may be time for a new verb that effectively describes what happens when a bunch of smug, over confident and definitely hapless bunglers trip all over themselves as you and your associates do. May I suggest the word Moused, as in you really Moused that one up.

Cheer up, you still have a day job!

Your pal,

MH

www.theyfly.com